From: rhjenkin@ix.netcom.com@inetgw

To: Microsoft ATR

Date: 1/15/02 1:11pm

Subject: Microsoft Settlement

I believe that Microsoft is guilty of nothing more than providing extremely good products with very competetive pricing. I do not feel that the using public has been hurt in any way by anti-competetive practices. I was a user of Netscape (a competetive product) for several years and in fact I used it with the Windows Operating System. I switched to Microsoft s Internet Explorer because Explorer is a better product and for no other reason. It is true that Microsoft married their Web browser and Windows Operating system but that does not preclude the use of other browsers. It does make an efficient and outstanding package which makes a lot of business sense. I believe that the settlement proposed is already more harsh than Microsoft deserves. And it is certainly in the public interest to conclude this suit and move on. The Microsoft competitors have already received more concessions than are warranted.